

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,070	03/23/2004	Jogesh Warrior	10040054-1	2645	
75	90 02/10/2006		EXAM	INER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			ROBBINS, JANET L		
Legal Departme	ent, DL429				
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599			2857		
Loveland, CO	80537-0599				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/807,070	WARRIOR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janet Robbins	2857	

		- Carlot (Cobbins		
7	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ado	iress
THE REPLY	FILED 23 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
this ap places	oly was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or plication, applicant must timely file one of the follow the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notes to Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔲 The	e period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
no	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mai	iling date of the final rejecti	ion.
	O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
have been file under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the period of extending is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late my earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o r than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropring in the final Office in the final Of	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must l	be filed within two month	hs of the date of
filing th	ne Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte ce of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	, to avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. 🔲 The p	roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef, will not be entered b	ecause
	They raise new issues that would require further co			
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		rejected claims.	
	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,
6. 🗌 Newly	proposed or amended claim(s) would be a owable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
how the	rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) e new or amended claims would be rejected is proatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: s) allowed:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
	s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
	s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The aff because	OR OTHER EVIDENCE idavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buse applicant failed to provide a showing of good an an other presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a id sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> lavit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
entered	idavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing d because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap _l	peal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 The a	ffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	, , ,	•
11. 🛭 The re	OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER equest for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🔲 Note 1	Continuation Sheet. the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s).	/
13. 🗌 Other	:·		Many Lift MARC S. HOF	
		·	MARC S. HUFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EYA	MINER
	•	5	SUPERVISORY PATERT EAR TECHNOLOGY CONTER 2	
			TEUR CEUGT C TEN Z	500

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that inserting Stanforth's sensor net would unduly complicate Chen's method of allocating bandwidth, however Examiner is not convinced that this modification would necessarily unduly complicate Chen's method. Applicant argues that Chen does not teach accessing measurement data. As noted in the Final Office Action, Stanforth is relied upon for the limition including measurement data (e.g. temperature, humidity, wind velocity) (Stanforth [0020]). Applicant argues that Chen does not detect access attempts. However, as noted in the Final Office Action, Chen teaches in paragraph [0014] that a mobile device (102) sends a request for network access to a network resource manager (110). That network resource manager uses the request complete with received distribution parameters to calculate a probability (see Chen Figure 1: 102, 1 12; paragraph (0015)). The system must detect an access attempt by a mobile device before it can perform the operation following the access attempt. Applicant argues that Stanforth does not teach routing measurement data based on probabilities. However, as noted in the final office action, Stanforth teaches continually updating nodes with a statistically determined metric for routing information (Stanforth: [0032], [0033]).